

LEADERSHIP DILEMMA #1 MR. PICK ME, PICK ME

You are the new principal a designated priority school in need of improvement. You know that you need to assemble a leadership team to help you begin the improvement process. The previous principal's leadership team included mostly administrators, and essentially remained the same for many years, with no track record of results. You begin the process of recruiting "your team," and before you know it, people are lobbying you to be part of it. One of your assistant principals, who is very politically connected and even plays golf regularly with the superintendent and two School Board members, has been saying how he's "looking forward to working with this team." He insists often that he's "a team player," but you aren't so sure about that. In your experience with him, you have seen a sense of arrogance and sometimes bending rules to suit his own agenda. You are worried that if you do select him he won't be a strong contributor, but if you don't select him you may be hurting the improvement process because he could be in a position to sabotage the work of the team.

How do you handle this selection dilemma?

Members of truly cohesive teams:

- 1. Trust one another.
- 2. Engage in unfiltered **conflict** around ideas.
- 3. **Commit** to decisions and plans of action.
- 4. Hold one another **accountable** for delivering against those plans.
- 5. Focus on the achievement of collective results.

THE FIVE DYSFUNCTIONS OF A TEAM



Absence of Trust

The first dysfunction is an absence of trust among team members. Essentially, this stems from their unwillingness to be vulnerable within the group. Team members who are not genuinely open with one another about their mistakes and weaknesses make it impossible to build a foundation for trust.

Fear of Conflict

This failure to build trust is damaging because it sets the tone for the second dysfunction: fear of conflict. Teams that lack trust are incapable of engaging in unfiltered and passionate debate of ideas. Instead, they resort to veiled discussions and guarded comments.

Lack of Commitment

A lack of healthy conflict is a problem because it ensures the third dysfunction of a team: lack of commitment. Without having aired their opinions in the course of passionate and open debate, team members rarely, if ever, buy in and commit to decisions, though they may feign agreement during meetings.

Avoidance of Accountability

Because of this lack of real commitment and buy-in, team members develop an avoidance of accountability, the fourth dysfunction. Without committing to a clear plan of action, even the most focused and driven people often hesitate to call their peers on action and behaviours that seem counterproductive to the good of the team.

Inattention to Results

Failure to hold one another accountable creates an environment where the fifth dysfunction can thrive. Inattention to results occurs when team members put their individual needs (such as ego, career development, or recognition) or even the needs of their divisions above the collective goals of the team.

And so, like a chain with just one link broken, teamwork deteriorates if even a single dysfunction is allowed to flourish.

Dysfunction 1: Absence of Trust

The absence of trust among team members stems from their **unwillingness to be vulnerable** within the group. Team members who are not genuinely open with one another about their mistakes and weaknesses make it impossible to build a foundation for trust.

Team members must make themselves vulnerable to one another, and be confident that their respective vulnerabilities will not be used against them.

It is only when team members are truly comfortable being exposed to one another that they begin to act without concern for protecting themselves. As a result they can focus their energy and attention completely on the job at hand, rather than on being strategically disingenuous and political with each other.

Members of teams with an absence	Members of trusting teams
of trust	
Conceal their weaknesses and mistakes	Admit weaknesses and mistakes.
from one another.	
Hesitate to ask for help or provide	Ask for help.
constructive feedback.	
Hesitate to offer help outside their own	Accept questions and input about their
areas of responsibility.	areas of responsibility.
Jump to conclusions about the intentions	Give one another the benefit of the doubt
and aptitudes of others without	before arriving at a negative conclusion.
attempting to clarify them.	
Fail to recognise and tap into one	Take risks in offering feedback and
another's skills and experiences.	assistance.
Waste time and energy managing their	Focus time and energy on important
behaviours for effect.	issues, not politics.
Hold grudges.	Offer and accept apologies without
	hesitation.
Dread meetings and find reasons to	Look forward to meetings and other
avoid spending time together.	opportunities to work as a group.

Overcoming Dysfunction 1

- Personal Histories Exercise
- Team Effectiveness Exercise
- Personality and Behavioural Preference Profiles
- 360 Degree Feedback
- Experiential Team Exercises

Role of the Leader

- 1. Demonstrate genuine vulnerability first (requires them to lose face in front of the group).
- 2. Create an environment that does not punish vulnerability.
- 3. Vulnerability must be genuine.

Connection to Dysfunction 2

By building trust, a team makes conflict possible because team members do not hesitate to engage in passionate and sometimes emotional debate, knowing that they will not be punished for saying something that might otherwise be interpreted as destructive or critical.

Dysfunction 2: Fear of Conflict

All great relationships that last over time require productive conflict in order to grow.

The more conflict is considered taboo the more time and energy people spend on trying to avoid the kind of passionate debates that are essential to any great team.

Teams that avoid ideological conflict often do so in order to avoid hurting team members' feelings, and then end up encouraging dangerous tension. When team members do not openly debate and disagree about important ideas, they often turn to back-channel personal attacks, which are far nastier and more harmful than any heated argument over issues.

Teams that fear conflict	Teams that engage in conflict
Have boring meetings.	Have lively, interesting meetings.
Create environments where back-	Extract and exploit the ideas of all
channel politics and personal attacks	members.
thrive.	
Ignore controversial topics that are	Solve real problems quickly.
critical to team success.	
Fail to tap into all the opinions and	Minimise politics.
perspectives of team members.	
Waste time and energy with posturing	Put critical topics on the table for
and interpersonal risk management.	discussion.

Overcoming Dysfunction 2

- Mining
- Real-Time Permission
- Personality style and behavioural preference tools (The Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument (TKI) specifically relates to conflict)

The Role of the Leader

- 1. Demonstrate restraint when their people engage in conflict, and allow resolution to occur naturally.
- 2. Personally model appropriate conflict behaviour.
- 3. Resist the desire to protect members from harm, which leads to premature interruption of disagreements and prevents team members from developing coping skills for dealing with conflict themselves.
- 4. Resist the belief that they are somehow failing in their jobs by losing control of their teams during conflict.

Connection to Dysfunction 3

By engaging in productive conflict and tapping into team members' perspectives and opinions, a team can confidently commit and buy in to a decision knowing that they have benefited from everyone's ideas.

Dysfunction 3: Lack of Commitment

Commitment is a function of clarity and buy-in.

Causes of the lack of commitment are the desire for consensus and the need for certainty.

Conflict underlies the willingness to commit without perfect information. Only when everyone has put their opinions and perspectives on the table can the team confidently commit to a decision knowing that it has tapped into the collective wisdom of the entire group.

A team that fails to commit	A team that commits
Creates ambiguity among the team about	Creates clarity around direction and
direction and priorities.	priorities.
Watches windows of opportunity close	Takes advantage of opportunities before
due to excessive analysis and	competitors do.
unnecessary delay.	
Breeds lack of confidence and fear of	Develops an ability to learn from
failure.	mistakes.
	Changes direction without hesitation or
	guilt.
Revisits discussions and decisions again	Moves forward without hesitation.
and again.	
Encourages second-guessing among	Aligns the entire team around common
team members.	objectives.

Overcoming Dysfunction 3

- Cascading Messaging
- Deadlines
- Contingency and Worst-Case Scenario Analysis
- Low-Risk Exposure Therapy

Role of the Leader

- 1. Be comfortable with the prospect of making a decision that ultimately turns out to be wrong.
- 2. Constantly push the group for closure around issues
- 3. Constantly push the group for adherence to schedules that the team has set.
- 4. Resist placing too much emphasis on certainty or consensus.

Connection to Dysfunction 4

In order for team mates to call each other on their behaviours and actions, they must have a clear sense of what is expected. Even the most ardent believers in accountability usually balk at having to hold someone accountable for something that was never bought in to or made clear in the first place.

Avoidance of Accountability

Accountability in teamwork is the willingness of team members to call their peers on performance or behaviours that might hurt the team.

The most effective and efficient means of maintaining high standards of performance on a team is peer pressure. One of the benefits is the reduction of the need for excessive bureaucracy around performance management and corrective action. More than any policy of system, there is nothing like the fear of letting down respected team mates that motivates people to improve their performance.

A team that avoids accountability	A team that holds one another accountable
Creates resentment among team members who have different standards of performance.	Ensures that poor performers feel pressure to improve.
Encourages mediocrity.	Identifies potential problems quickly by questioning one another's approaches without hesitation.
Misses deadlines and key deliverables.	Establishes respect among team members who are held to the same high standards.
Places an undue burden on the team leader as the sole source of discipline.	Avoids excessive bureaucracy around performance management and corrective action.

Overcoming Dysfunction 4

- Publication of Goals and Standards
- Simple and Regular Progress Reviews
- Team Rewards

Role of the Leader

- 1. Serve as the first and primary accountability mechanism to create a culture of accountability.
- 2. Once the culture of accountability has been created on a team the leader then must be willing to serve as the ultimate arbiter of discipline when the team itself fails.

Connection to Dysfunction 5

If team mates are not being held accountable for their contributions they will be more likely to turn their attention to their own needs, and to the advancement of themselves or their departments. An absence of accountability is an invitation to tem members to shift their attention to areas other than collective results.

SUMMARY – THE FIVE DYSFUNCTIONS OF A TEAM A Leadership Fable by Patrick Lencioni Inattention to Results

The ultimate dysfunction of a team is to care about something other than the collective goals of the group. An unrelenting focus on specific objectives and clearly defined outcomes is a requirement for any team that judges itself on performance.

Results are not limited to financial measures, and ultimately these other goals drive profits.

Having members place importance on team status and individual status will reduce inattention to results.

Many teams are simply not results focused. Unfortunately for these groups, no amount of trust, conflict, commitment, or accountability can compensate for a lack of desire to win.

A team that is not focused on results	A team that focuses on collective results
Stagnates / fails to grow.	Retains achievement-oriented employees.
Rarely defeats competitors.	Minimises individualistic behaviour.
Loses achievement-oriented employees.	Enjoys success and suffers failure acutely.
Encourages team members to focus on their own careers and individual goals.	Benefits from individuals who subjugate their own goals / interests for the good of the team.
Is easily distracted.	Avoids distractions.

Overcoming Dysfunction 5

- Public Declaration of Results
- Results-Based Rewards

Role of the Leader

- 1. Set the tone for a focus on results.
- 2. Be selfless and objective.
- 3. Reserve rewards and recognition for those who make real contributions to the achievement of group goals.

SUMMARY

Teamwork comes down to practicing a small set of principles over a long period of time. Success is not a matter of mastering subtle, sophisticated theory, but rather of embracing common sense with uncommon levels of discipline and persistence.

Teams succeed because they are exceedingly human. By acknowledging the imperfections of their humanity, members of functional teams overcome the natural tendencies that make trust, conflict, commitment, accountability, and a focus on results so elusive.